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THE POWER WITHIN: Conventional vs Non-Conventional Cancer Treatment  
The purpose of this experiment is to determine whether the more commonly used conventional  
treatments of cancer, such as chemotherapy, are as effective as they should be, or if other  
treatments, like immunotherapy (utilizing the immune system) or herbal remedies (herbs ground  
and mixed to form an elixir) are more effective, based on statistics. The first step was to gather  
research and statistics on several conventional and non-conventional treatments. Also researched  
the basic immune response to fight cancer. The next step was to highlight and go over the pros  
and cons of each treatment. The third step was to evaluate, using the data gathered, the overall  
quality, effectiveness, and survival rate for each therapy. The final step was to draw conclusions,  
and decide, based on these conclusions, which of the two types appeared to be more promising.  
Some of the data gathered is shown here: Joseph M. Issels, M.D., Reported that, out of 370  
people who received Issels treatment, 57% remained healthy without recurrence. Issels can  
reduce the chance of relapse from 50% to 13% when combined with other treatments. John  
Anderson, M.D., reported that, out of 570 patients, 17% survived after only conventional  
methods. Currently there is inadequate statistical information related to the survival rate for non-  
conventional treatments, however, utilizing the body's natural ability to kill cancerous cells as  
well as statistics on this matter should be an area of scientific focus in the future. Based on the  
available statistical information, the conclusion has been made that non-conventional treatments  
are ultimately more effective.